

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/816,125		03/26/2001	Hiroyasu Sugano	1405.1040	8745	
21171	7590	03/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				NALVEN, ANDREW L		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW		VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING		•		2134		
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/16/200	DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/816,125	SUGANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_	Andrew L Nalven	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1 1				
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-22</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		, , , , ,				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, ,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/23/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050308				

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-4 and 6-20 are pending.

2. Claim to foreign priority to the date 9/27/98 has been acknowledged.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant has argued on pages 13-14 that the Theimar reference (US Patent No. 5,493,692) fails to teach, "setting a relationship between the first user requesting communication and the second user." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner contends that Theimar does teach, "setting a relationship between the first user requesting communication and the second user" (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6). In the cited passage, Theimar discloses the inclusion of user policies that impose restrictions on responses to a subset of possible clients. In Theimar, clients are the users of UserAgents and thus, the setting of a relationship among clients is the setting of a relationship among users (Theimar, column 7 line 65 column 8 line 4).
- 5. Applicant further argues on pages 13-14 that the "client" as presented by Theimar is not similar to a first user as defined in the present application. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Theimar's "client" is a user of a device (Theimar, column 7 lines

Art Unit: 2134

10-20). Further, devices represent a UserAgent that represents a user (Theimar, column 7 lines 60-67).

6. Applicant has further argued on Page 14 that the Aggarwal reference fails to teach the limitation of claim 7, "an obtain request among a plurality of communication requester terminals." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Theimar in combination with Aggarwall teach an obtain request among a plurality of communication requester terminals (Aggarwal, column 3 lines 41-53, Theimar, column 9 lines 7-9). Examiner has relied upon Theimar to teach the requesting of information from one communication requester terminal to another. Examiner has relied on Aggarwal only to teach a request for information in response to a lack of information. Hence, Theimar has been relied upon to teach the action of requesting information and Aggrwal has been relied upon to teach the conditions causing Theimar's action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 2-6 and 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Theimar et al US Patent No 5,493,692. Theimar teaches a system for selective delivery

Art Unit: 2134

of messages between multimedia computers based upon context and environment of the user.

9. With regards to claim 2, Theimar teaches the storing of statuses of the users (Theimar, column 7 lines 1-16, Figure 3), the preparing of a processing policy in which processes for communication requests are set for each of the users (Theimar, column 14 line 62 – column 15 line 15), the processes each in turn being according to a first user from whom there is a request for communication with a second user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6), to status of the second user with whom communication is requested (Theimar, column 10 line 67 – column 11 line 3), to content of the requested communication (Theimar, column 14 lines 43-48 and column 14 lines 62-64), and the processing policy including an attribute-assigning policy setting a relationship between the first user requesting communication to the second user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6, "policies restricting responses to a subset of all possible clients", column 7 lines 7-17 "interaction policies"). Theimar also discloses that when a request for communication occurs, there is a step of looking up the attribute-assigning policy and information of the first user and the second user (Theimar, column 10 line 66 - column 11 line 17, "check the current settings of the user's policy" and "response..contains information about the user and/or UserAgent that the client is interested in"), a step of determining and reporting to the communication device a process for the request based, in the policy, on the user with whom communication is requested is completed (Theimar, column 14 lines 43-48, column 11 lines 6-17).

Art Unit: 2134

10. With regards to claims 3, 4, 9, 12-13, and 15-22, Theimar, in addition to the features described above, further teaches a first storing means for storing information related to users (Theimar, column 7 line 65 – column 8 line 4), the processing policy including an attribute-assigning policy setting a relationship between the first user requesting communication to the second user (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6, "policies restricting responses to a subset of all possible clients"), looking up the attributeassigning policy and information of the first user and the second user (Theimar, column 10 line 66 – column 11 line 17, "check the current settings of the user's policy" and "response..contains information about the user and/or UserAgent that the client is interested in"), authentication means for verifying the communication requestor when a request for communication occurs (Theimar, column 11 lines 3-6), liaising means for acquiring from the communication device the communication requester, requestee, and content of the communication (Theimar, column 10 line 66 - column 11 line 6 and column 14 lines 43-48). Theimar further teaches an information recording means for accepting input of recording in the first storing means the information related to users (Theimar, column 9 lines 54-64, column 10 lines 3-7), a status recording means for accepting input of an recording in the storing means the statuses of the users (Theimar, column 9 line 60 - column 10 line 2), and a policy recording means for accepting input of and recording in the storing means the processing policy (Theimar, column 10 lines 3-7).

Art Unit: 2134

11. With regards to claims 10, Theimar, in addition to the features described above, further teaches policy recording means accepting input of, and records in the relay terminal (Theimar, column 7 lines 35-40).

- 12. With regards to claims 6 and 11, Theimar, in addition to the features described above, further teaches an inquiry means for inquiring among communication requestee terminals whether to permit the communication request (Theimar, column 10 line 66 column 11 line 3) and for obtaining a reply to the inquiry (Theimar, column 11 lines 12-17).
- 13. With regards to claims 14, Theimar discloses the administering of information related to statuses of the users (Theimar, column 7 lines 1-16, Figure 3), the storing of users requesting the services, content of the requested services, and status of the users related to the request services correlatively with processes for the service requests (Theimar, column 10 line 66 column 11 line 17). Theimar further discloses that when a service request has been made by one user (Theimar, Figure 4 Item 126), that statuses of the other users related to the service request are obtained (Theimar, column 10 line 66 column 11 line 3) and based on the one user who requested a service, on the other users related to the requested service, and on the obtained user status, the determining of a process for the service request is completed (Theimar, column 10 line 66 column 11 line 6 and column 14 lines 43-48).

Art Unit: 2134

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimar et al US Patent No 5,493,692 in view of Aggarwal et al US Patent No. 5,943,478.
- 16. With regards to claim 7, Theimar, as described above, teaches a request instructing means for requesting information content related to a terminal from other terminals (Theimar, column 9 lines 7-9), but fails to teach the request occurring if the information is not recorded in the first storing means. Aggarwal teaches the requesting of information content from another terminal if the information content is not stored in the first recording medium (Aggarwal, column 3 lines 41-53). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Aggarwal's information requesting means because it offers the advantage of allowing a terminal to send a message to any other terminal regardless of whether the requesting terminal is known to a terminal previously (Aggarwal, column 1 line 58 column 2 line 2).
- 17. With regards to claim 8, Theimar as modified, fails to teach a peripheral information providing means for providing information content not stored in the first recording means. Aggarwal teaches a peripheral information providing means that

Art Unit: 2134

provides information content related to terminals to a terminal in order to handle a communication request (Aggarwal, column 3 lines 45-49).

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L Nalven whose telephone number is 571 272 3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571 272 3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Rétrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Nalven

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100